

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/896,681	06/29/2001	Vladislav Vashchenko	75292/12849	8699
759	90 05/17/2002			
Jurgen K. Vollrath Arter & Hadden, LLP Two Embarcadero Center, 5th Floor			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, JOSEPH H	
San Francisco, CA 94111				
,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2815	
			DATE MAILED: 05/17/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<del> </del>			OW.		
•		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summers		09/896,681	VASHCHENKO ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	T. 14411 W.O. D.4.77	Joseph Nguyen	2815			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE N - Exten after: - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Isions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a Cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  rs will be considered timely.  the mailing date of this communication.  TO (35 U.S.C. 6 133)			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
-,∟ 2a)[]		—· is action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa		responition as to the marite in	_		
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	IS3 O.G. 213.	5		
	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application	l.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray					
_	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.				
	on Papers	·				
9)□ T	he specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)∐ T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	oted or b) objected to by the Exam	miner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)∟ T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) 🔲 .	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).			
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ol>	s have been received.				
	<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li></ol>	s have been received in Application	on No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Buree the attached detailed Office action for a list of the a	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•			
14) 🗌 Ad	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional applicatio	n).		
	☐ The translation of the foreign language procknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti					
Attachment(		= p aa 00 0.0.0. 33 120				
2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
S Patent and Tra	domark Office					

Application/Control Number: 09/896,681

Art Unit: 2815

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restriction

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-7, 11-16, drawn to a ESD protection structure, classified in class
   257, subclass 369 and wherein:
- II. Claims 8-10, drawn to a method of making an ESD protection structure, classified in class 438, subclass +1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case unpatentability of the Group I invention would not necessarily imply unpatentability of the Group II invention, since the product of the Group I invention could be made by other and materially different process from that of the Group II invention. For instance, as alternative in claim 8, rather than splitting the n+ drain region into at least one first drain region located near the gate and at least one second drain region between the p+ emitter and the gate, selectively diffusing dopants or ion implanting to form at least two drain regions, at least one drain region near the gate and the at least other drain region between the p+ emitter and the gate.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above, the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized

Application/Control Number: 09/896,681

Art Unit: 2815

divergent subject matter as shown by their different classification, the search required for Group II is not required for Group I, and separate examination would be required, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

In the event applicant elects Group I, the following election of species requirement is applicable. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: The embodiment illustrated in Figure 3;the embodiment illustrated in Figure 4; the embodiment illustrated in Figure 5; the embodiment illustrated in Figure 6; the embodiment illustrated in Figure 7.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, there is no generic claim.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/896,681

Art Unit: 2815

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-1269. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:30am-4: 30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie Lee can be reached on (703) 308-1690. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)-308-7382 for regular communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956

JN May 15, 2002

> EDDIE LEE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800